

REVIEW OF RESEARCH

IMPACT FACTOR : 5.7631(UIF)

ISSN: 2249-894X

VOLUME - 1 | ISSUE - 3 | MARCH - 2019

ICHTYODIVERSITY OF INDIRA SAGAR DAM IN VIDARBHA REGION OF MAHARASHTRA

Swapnil S. Ghatge¹, Sachin W. Belsare² and Shrikant S. Jadhav³ ¹Department of Fisheries Biology, College of Fishery Science, Nagpur - 440001 ²Department of Fisheries Hydrography, College of Fishery Science, Nagpur - 440001 ³Zoological Survey of India, Western Regional Centre, Vidyanagar, Akurdi, Pune, Maharashtra 411044 sacmax_aqua@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT:

Information on fish diversity and its distribution is vital for sustainable utilisation of the resources and its conservation. Indira Sagar dam (major irrigation project) which is also commonly known as Gosi Khurd dam is constructed on Wainganga River, a major tributary of Godavari River near <u>Pauni</u> in Bhandara district in the state of Maharashtra in India. The fish fauna of Indira Sagar dam is yet not been documented. The present work was undertaken to study fish biodiversity and to present a comprehensive report on the diversity and threats to the fish fauna of the Indira Sagar dam. The survey and documentation was carried out during January, 2013 to March, 2015.

A total of, 54 fish species under 10 orders, 20 families and 42 genera were recorded from the Indira Sagar dam. The family Cyprinidae has the highest number of fish species (24) followed by Channidae (4), Bagridae (3), Siluridae, Schilbeidae, Ambassidae and Mastacembelidae were represented by two species each and 13 other families with one fish species each. The present study revealed that, as per IUCN Red List criteria, out of the 54 fish species, 04 species belong to the Near Threatened (NT), 01 to the Vulnerable (VU), 01 to the Endangered (EN) category, 04 species under Data Deficient category (DD), one species Not Evaluated (NE) and 33 species under Least Concerned (LC) category. The present study also validates the distribution range of Hyselobarbus kolus in Wainganga River. The Indira Sagar dam is rich in fish biodiversity but, it is facing anthropogenic pressure.

Key words: : Fish biodiversity, Indira Sagar dam, Gosi Khurd dam, Wainganga River,

INTRODUCTION

The North Eastern part of Maharashtra is situated between latitude 17^o 57'N to 21^o 46'N and longitude 75^o57'E to 80^o59'E is commonly referred to as Vidarbha region and comprises eleven districts viz; Nagpur, Amaravati, Akola, Yavatmal, Buldhana, Chandrapur, Wardha, Gadchiroli, Bhandara, Gondia, and Washim. By virtue of its geographical situation in monsoon belt, eastern parts of Vidarbha region are endowed with fairly good rainfall and consequently extensive water bodies especially in the districts of Bhandara, Gondia (Lake District) and Nagpur.

The Vidarbha region is drained by the tributaries of Tapti River in the northern parts and rest by the Wainganga, Wardha and Penganga Rivers, which are tributaries of Pranhita sub basin under Godavari basin.

The fish fauna of Vidarbha region is studied at few localities only at Wardha River basin, Pradhan (1997), Pench National Park, Yadav (2004), Melghat tiger reserve, Yadav (2005), Tabdoba National Park, Yadav (2006) and Chandrapur district, Nagpur district and Akola district and Tadoba National Park, Karmakar *et al*, (2012). There is no literature available on Wainganga River on which the Gosi Khurd dam is constructed. Heda (2009) surveyed the River Kathani a tributary of Wainganga which lies more than 80Km south of Gosi Khurd dam and River Adan a tributary of River Painganga (Penganaga) in West Vidarbha region.

Review of Research

The review of literature suggests that the River Godavari has not been surveyed extensively for its fish fauna and checklists for individual Rivers are not available (Jadhav *et al.*, 2011). Similarly, no fish faunal survey has been carried out in Wainganga River and also on Gosi Khurd dam which is built on the mainstream of this river. Hence the present work was undertaken to study fish biodiversity and to present a comprehensive report on the diversity and threats to the fish fauna of the Indira Sagar dam.

Study Area:

Indirasagar dam, also commonly referred as Gosi Khurd dam and it is one of the ambitious project in Vidarbha region, was established with an aim of offering irrigation facilities to the villages of Nagpur, Bhandara and Chandrapur districts. The dam wall has been built near Gosi Khurd village located in Pauni tahsil of Bhandara district on Wainganga River at Latitude 20⁰ - 52' - 15"N and Longitude 79⁰ - 37' -00"E. The dam has a total catchment area of 34,863 km² out of which, 24,243 km² lies in Madhya Pradesh and 10,619 km² lies in Maharashtra. The gross storage capacity of Indira Sagar dam is around 1,146.075 Mm³ with a total area of around 22258 10³m².

Materials and Methods

Quarterly samplings were carried out (January, 2013 to March, 2015) with the help of local fishermen and fishes were observed and collected from the fishermen catches. During the study period the local fish landing centres and markets at Bhandara, Pathari Village, Ambhora Village and dam wall near Gosi Khurd village were surveyed to monitor and ascertain the fish species which were not available in local fishermen catches (Table. 1). The timeline PRA was carried out with the local fishermen's in the vicinity of Indirasagar dam. Representative fish samples were collected from the fishermen catches and were preserved in 10% formaldehyde and brought back to laboratory. The taxonomical identification was carried out with help of available literature of Day (1889), Talwar and Jhingran (1991), Jayaram (2006, 2010). Taxonomic status is given as per Eschemeyer et al. 2018. The threat status of fish native species documented during the present study is given and was adapted from IUCN Red List of Threatened species version 2018 (IUCN 2018).

Sr. No.	Sampling Site	Latitude	Longitude
1.	Bhandara,	21 [°] - 09' - 01"N	79 ⁰ - 39' -06"E
2	Pathari Village,	20 ⁰ - 54' - 14"N	79 ⁰ - 38′ -39″E
3.	Ambhora Village	21 ⁰ - 02' - 04"N	79 ⁰ - 36' -17"E
4.	Dam wall near Gosi Khurd	20 ⁰ - 52' - 65"N	79 ⁰ - 36′ -43″E

Table 1. Geographical co-ordinates of sampling localities

Results and discussion:

A total of, 54 fish species under 10 orders, 20 families and 42 genera along with one hybrid species were recorded from the Indira Sagar dam (Table 2). The family Cyprinidae has the highest number of fish species (24) followed by Channidae (4), Bagridae (3), Siluridae, Schilbeidae, Ambassidae and Mastacembelidae were represented by two species each and 13 other families with one fish species each. Voucher specimens of all the 54 fish species have been preserved and exhibits in the laboratory of Department of Fisheries Biology, College of Fishery Science, Nagpur.

Particulars	Remark		
A. Osteoglossiformes			
I. Notopteridae			
Notopterus notopterus (Pallas, 1769)	LC		
B. Anguilliformes			
I. Anguillidae			
Anguilla bengalensis (Gray, 1831)	NT		
C. Cypriniformes			
I. Cyprinidae			
Catla catla (Hamilton, 1822)	Т		
Cirrhinus mrigala (Hamilton, 1822)	Т		
Ctenopharyngodon idella (Valenciennes, 1844)	Exotic / I		
Cyprinus carpio Linnaeus, 1758	Exotic / I		
Hypophthalmichthys nobilis (Richardson, 1845)	Exotic / I		
Hypophthalmichthys molitrix (Valenciennes, 1844)	Exotic / I		
Hypselobarbus kolus (Sykes, 1839)	VU		
Labeo boggut (Sykes, 1839)	LC		
Labeo calbasu (Hamilton, 1822)	LC		
Labeo fimbriatus (Bloch, 1795)	LC		
Labeo rohita (Hamilton, 1822)	Т		
Osteobrama peninsularis Silas, 1952	DD		
Osteobrama vigorsii (Sykes, 1839)	LC		
Puntius chola (Hamilton, 1822)	LC		
Systomus sarana (Hamilton, 1822)	LC		
Puntius sophore (Hamilton, 1822)	LC		
Pethia ticto (Hamilton, 1822)	LC		
Tor tor (Hamilton, 1822)	DD		
Salmophasia balookee (Sykes, 1839)	LC		
Salmophasia boopis (Day, 1874)	LC		
Amblypharyngodon mola (Hamilton, 1822)	LC		
Brachydanio rerio (Hamilton, 1822)	LC		
Rasbora daniconius (Hamilton, 1822)	LC		
Garra mullya (Sykes, 1839)	LC		
II. Cobitidae			
Lepidocephalus thermalis (Valenciennes, 1846)	LC		
III. Balitoridae			
Indoreonectes evezardi (Day, 1872)	LC		
D. Siluriformes			
I. Bagridae			
Mystus cavasius (Hamilton, 1822)	LC		
Mystus vittatus (Bloch, 1794)	LC		
Rita gogra (Sykes, 1839)	LC		
Sperata seenghala (Sykes, 1839)	LC		
II. Siluridae			
Ompok bimaculatus (Bloch, 1794)	NT		
Wallago attu (Bloch and Schneider)	NT		

"Advances in Fisheries , Biological and Allied Research"

III. Schilbeidae	
Eutropiichthys cf. goongwaree (Sykes, 1839)	DD
Clupisoma bastari Datta & Karmakar, 1980	DD
IV. Pangasiidae	
Pangasianodon hypophthalmus (Sauvage, 1878)	Exotic / I
V. Sisoridae	
Bagarius yarrelli (Sykes, 1839)	NT
VI. Clariidae	
<u>Clarias magur</u> (Hamilton, 1822)	EN
VII. Heteropnustidae	
Heteropneustes fossilis (Bloch, 1794)	LC
E. Beloniformes	
I. Belonidae	
Xenentodon cancila (Hamilton, 1822)	LC
F. Perciformes	
I. Ambassidae	
Parambassis ranga (Hamilton, 1822)	LC
Chanda nama Hamilton, 1822	LC
J. Cichliformes	
I. Cichliadae	
Oreochromis niloticus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Exotic / I
<u>Oreochromis mossambicus</u> (Peters, 1852)	Exotic / I
H. Gobiiformes	
I. Gobiidae	
Glossogobius giuris (Hamilton, 1822)	LC
H. Anabantiformes	
I. Nandidae	
Nandus nandus (Hamilton, 1822)	LC
II. Badidae	
Badis badis (Hamilton, 1822)	LC
III. Channidae	
Channa marulius (Hamilton, 1822)	LC
Channa gachua Bloch & Schneider, 1801	NE
Channa punctata (Bloch, 1793)	LC
Channa striata (Bloch, 1793)	LC
I. Synbranchiformes	
I. Mastacembelidae	
Macrognathus aral (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	LC
Mastacembalus armatus (Lacepede)	LC

Taxonomic status as per Eschemeyer et al. 2018, T = Transplanted; I = Invasive, IUCN (2018). EN = Endangered, NT = Near Threatened, VU = Vulnerable, LC = Least Concern, NE = Not Evaluated, DD = Data Deficient. Statuses for introduced/transplanted species are not provided.

As per the IUCN Red List criteria (IUCN, 2018) out of the 54 fish recorded in the Indira Sagar dam, 04 species (*Anguilla bengalensis, Ompok bimaculatus, Wallago attu* and *Bagarius yarrelli*) belong to the Near Threatened (NT) category, 01 species *Hypselobarbus kolus* to the Vulnerable (VU) category and 01 (*Clarias magur*) to the Endangered (EN) category, 04 species are found to be in Data Deficient category (DD), one species Not Evaluated (NE) and 33 species under Least Concerned (LC) category.

Review of Research

Further, it is to state that *Tor tor* and *Hypselobarbus kolus* was recorded for the first time from the Indira Sagar dam on Wainganga River system. *H. kolus* is an endemic species to Western Ghats and reported from the states of Kerala, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu and Maharashtra. In Maharashtra it is reported form Bhima–Sina-Man River basins in Solapur district and Adan a tributary of River Painganga under Godavari River basin in Washim district West Vidarbha (Head, 2009; Raghavan and Ali, 2013). The review of literature evoke that there is no distributional records of *Hypselobarbus kolus and Tor tor* from the mainstream of Wainganga River from East Vidarbha. Therefore, based on the sample collections in the present study authors are of opinion that the distributional range of *Hyselobarbus kolus and Tor tor* is extended in Wainganga River from East Vidarbha. A detailed study in this regards will be published elsewhere.

The Indira Sagar dam harbours a rich diversity of fishes including some of the threatened fish species. But, the fish fauna of the Indira Sagar dam is under threat due to several anthropogenic activities. The 03 species of transplanted Indian major carps *Catla catla*, *Cirrhinus mrigala* and *Labeo rohita* are dominating the fishermen catches The authors are of firm opinion that the exotic species are posing competition for food and space to the native species. To certain extend even hybrid of catla and rohu are available in the fish catches. The present study also validate 07 exotic species form the Indira Sagar dam and for first time from Wainganga basin. The author firmly believe that 02 exotic species *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus* and *Oreochromis niloticus* might have escaped from the cage culture which is currently being practiced in the Gosi Khurd dam waters. Further the 04 exotic species *Ctenopharyngodon idella*, *Cyprinus carpio, Hypophthalmichthys molitrix, Oreochromis mossambicus* which are being regularly stocked in the reservoir and ponds of Nagpur district might have escaped along with *C. catla*, *L. rohita* and *C. mrigala* from the overflow waters of the reservoirs built on the tributaries of Wainganga. Heda (2009) has also reported *Oreochromis mossambicus* in Kathani River which is approximately 80Km downstream from present study location. Subsequently, *Hypophthalmichthys nobilis was* also recorded in the fish catches, which is a banned fish species in India for culture but might have washed away from reservoir overflows.

Further, the untreated municipal sewage of Nagpur city dumped in Nag River is carried by Kanhan River further to Indira Sagar dam adding to organic load and increasing pollution in the dam waters. The water hyacinth, *Eichhornia crassipes* (C. Mart.) Solms (1883) occurrence is increasing in the Gosi Khurd dam waters. The specimen samples of *E. crassipes* was also collected from all the sampling sites

At the same time juvenile fishing practice by using small mesh size fishing gears and and as a result of this the fish fauna of Indira Sagar dam is subjected to growth overfishing. During the monsoon season, reproductive overfishing is also evident below the dam walls of Indira Sagar dam.All along the reverse spawning migration the adult fishes get strangled at the dam wall and get easily captured. A *Bagarius yarrelli* (22Kg) which is considered as under Near Threatened species is also observed being strangled in the shallow water below dam wall and captured by fishermen.

It is to conclude that, the Indira Sagar dam is rich in fish biodiversity but, is facing anthropogenic pressure. It harbours a number of freshwater fish species as well as globally threatened fish species. However, the fish fauna in Indira Sagar dam is threatened due to several anthropogenic activities including introduced exotic fish species, growth overfishing, municipal sewage pollution, aquatic weed infestation. The native fish funa which supports the livelihood of local fishing community has to be sustainably utilised by proper planning, conservation and local awareness on impacts of introduction of exotics, growth overfishing is necessary.

Acknowledgement:

The authors are thankful to the fishermen of Bhandara, Pathari, Ambhora and Gosi Khurd village for their co-operation, support and healthy discussions during the study. Further the authors are indebted to Project Director, Agriculture Technology Management Agency, Nagpur for funding the project on inventorying fish fauna of selected reservoirs in Nagpur district, as the present work is one of the outputs of this project work. The authors are also grateful to the Associate Dean, College of Fishery Science, Nagpur for their encouragement and support for carrying out the study. Finally the authors are also thankful

of the Director, Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata and Dr. P. S. Bhatnagar, Scientist-D & Officer-in-Charge, Zoological Survey of India, Western Regional Centre, Pune for facilities and encouragement

References:

- 1. Dahanukar, N., Raut, R. and Bhat, A. 2004. Distribution, endemism and threat status of freshwater fishes in the Western Ghats of India. *Journal of Biogeography* 31: 123-136.
- 2. Day, F., 1889. The Fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma Fishes. Taylor and Francis, London, vol. 1&2, 548+509 pp.
- Eschmeyer, W. N., R. Fricke and R. van der Laan (eds) 2018. Catalog of Fishes electronicversion.http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/fishcatmain.asp)
 Electronic version accessed 17th October, 2018.
- 4. Froese, R. and D. Pauly. Editors. 2016. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication.www.fishbase.org, version (06/2016).
- 5. Heda N. K. 2009. Fish diversity studies of two Rivers of the northeastern Godavari basin, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 1(10): 514 518.
- IUCN 2018. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2018-2. http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 14th December, 2018.
- 7. Jadhav, B. V. Kharat, S. S. Raut, R. N. Paingankar, M. and Dahanukar, N. 2011. Freshwater fish fauna of Koyna River, northern Western Ghats, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa*, **3**(1): 1449-1455.
- 8. Jayaram, K. C., 2006. Catfishes of India. Narendra Publishing House, Delhi, 386 pp.
- 9. Jayaram, K. C. 2010. The Freshwater Fishes of the Indian Region.Second Edition. 1-616 published by Narendra Publishing House, Delhi.
- 10. Karmakar, A.K., Yadav, B.E., Bairagi. N., Das. A., Banerjee, P.K. and Jadhav, S.S. (2012) Freshwater fishes of Maharashtra In: Fauna of Maharashtra, State Fauna Series, 20 (Part 1) : 247-367, Zool. Surv. India
- 11. Molur, S. and Walker, S. 1998. Fresh water fishes of India. Conservation, Assessment and Management Plan (CAMP) workshop, National Bureau of Fish Genetic Resources, Lucknow, Lucknow.22-26 September, 156pp.
- 12. Molur, S. Smith, K. G. Daniel, B. A. and Darwall, W. R. T. (Compilers). 2011. *The Status and Distribution of Freshwater Biodiversity in the Western Ghats, India*. 1-116. Published by IUCN, Cambridge, UK and Gland, Switzerland: IUCN, and Coimbatore, India: Zoo Outreach Organisation.
- 13. National Wetland Atlas, 2010: Maharashtra, SAC/RESA/AFEG/NWIA/ATLAS/19/2009, Space Applications Centre (ISRO), Ahmedabad, India, 222p.
- 14. Pradhan, M. S. 1997. Qualitative analysis of major vertebrate fauna from Wardha River basin, Maharashtra State, *J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc.*, **94** : 71-103.
- 15. Raghavan, R. & Ali, A. 2013. *Hypselobarbus kolus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2013*: e.T172410A6886845. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2011-1.RLTS.T172410A6886845.en</u>
- 16. Talwar, P.K. and A.G. Jhingran 1991. Inland fishes of India and adjacent countries. Volume I and II. Oxford and IBH Publishing Company Pvt.Ltd., New Delhi. 1158 p.
- 17. Yadav, B. E. 2004. Fauna of Pench National Park, *Conservation Area Series*, **20** : 129-139, Published by Zool. Surv. India
- 18. Yadav, B. E. 2005. Fauna of Melghat Tiger Reserve, *Conservation Area Series*, **24** : 231-296. Published by Zool. Surv. India
- 19. Yadav, B. E. 2006. Fauna of Tadoba Andhari Tiger Reserve, *Conservation Area Series*, **25** : 137-160. Published by Zool. Surv. India